

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLN.NO.1673 OF 2007

Balkrishna Dagdu Bhapkar ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

...
Mr.R.S.Kate advocate for applicant
Mr.S.S.Pednekar A.P.P. for the State
...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.
DATE : JUNE 12, 2007

P.C.

1. Not on board. Mentioned. Taken on board.
Heard the learned advocate for the applicant and
the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant is seeking anticipatory bail in
C.R.No.57 of 2007 of Baramati City Police Station.
The said case is mainly under Sections 420 and 468
of IPC.

3. Mr.Kate, the learned advocate for the applicant
states that after the application for anticipatory
bail preferred by the applicant came to be
rejected, the co-accused Mr.Kantilal Tavade

preferred an application for anticipatory bail before the concerned Sessions Court and the said application came to be granted. Mr.Kate has further submitted that the case of the present applicant and the co-accused is similar.

4. In this view of the matter, I am of the opinion that the applicant ought to be granted one opportunity to prefer a fresh application for anticipatory bail before the concerned Sessions Court. To enable the applicant to prefer necessary application before the concerned Sessions Court, the interim protection granted to the applicant is extended by a period of two weeks from today.

5. Application is disposed of.

[Smt.V.K.Tahilramani, J.]